Under the provisions of Section 413.031 of the Texas Workers' Compensation Act, Title 5, Subtitle A of the Texas Labor Code, effective June 17, 2001 and Commission Rule 133.305, titled Medical Dispute Resolution-General, and 133.307, titled Medical Dispute Resolution of a Medical Fee Dispute, a review was conducted by the Division regarding a medical fee dispute between the requestor and the respondent named above. This dispute was received on 4/22/03.

I. DISPUTE

Whether there should be additional reimbursement for hospital admission of 5/9/02 through 5/12/02, reduced or denied on the basis of "F" – per fee guideline, "N" – not documented and "M" – reduced to fair and reasonable.

II. RATIONALE

During the respondent's audit of the disputed services, the carrier improperly carved out the charges for the implantables, applied the per-diem (§134.401(c)(1)) and reimbursed the requestor a total of \$15,422.00. Per Rule 134.401 (c)(4)(A)(i) this action is allowed only when stop loss is not in effect with a total audited bill below \$40,000.00.

Audit reductions are made per Rule 133.1, 133.301 and 134.401. Per Rule 134.401 (c)(6)(v), "Audited charges are those charges which remain after a bill review by the insurance carrier has been performed."

According to Rule 134.401 (b)(2)(A) all hospitals are required to bill usual and customary. The requestor billed usual and customary. The carrier's audit (EOBs) and response failed to prove the requestor's charges were not their usual and customary. The respondent used SOAH decisions as their method for reimbursement. While the SOAH decision adjudicated the merits of the individual cased addressed in those specific disputes, they do not change the provisions of the Commission rules. Commission staff must follow the provisions of Rule 134.401 to determine the appropriate reimbursement. The respondent also incorrectly applied the 1996 MFG MAR to determine fair and reasonable reimbursement on some of the disputed inpatient charges. Consequently, without the appropriate audits per §133.301 and 134.401, the total of these disputed/audited charges exceed \$40,000.00.

The requestor improperly included preadmission services dated 4/30/02 and equaling \$565.50. As these services were not included in the Table of Disputed Services, this amount was reduced from the total bill, lowering the total bill to \$41,177.11. This figure still exceeds \$40,000.00.

According to Rule 134.401(c)(6), the services in dispute are to be reimbursed per the Stop-Loss Method. Stop-loss is an independent methodology established to ensure fair and reasonable compensation to the hospital for unusually costly services rendered during treatment to an injured worker. Rule 134.401(c)(6)(A)(i) states that to be eligible for stop-loss payment the total audited charges for a hospital admission must exceed \$40,000. The reimbursement for the entire audited admission shall be paid using a Stop-Loss Reimbursement Factor (SLRF) of 75%. The

Stop-Loss Reimbursement Factor is multiplied by the total audited charges to determine the Workers Compensation Reimbursement Amount (WCRA) for the admission. Rule 134.401(c)(6)(B) states the formula for calculating the appropriate reimbursement is:

Audited Charges x SLRF = WCRA."

Using the Stop-Loss methodology, the total allowable WCRA for the audited charges is \$30,882.83 (\$41,742.61 less \$565.50 for preadmission services / total charges x 75%). The respondent paid \$15,422.00 of the total charges. Additional reimbursement in the amount of (\$15,460.83 / WCRA - \$15,422.00 / paid) is recommended.

IV. DECISION & ORDER

Based upon the review of the disputed healthcare services within this request, the Division has determined that the requestor **is** entitled to reimbursement for hospital admission of 5/9/02 through 5/12/02. Pursuant to Sections 402.042, 413.016, 413.031, and 413.019 the Division hereby ORDERS the Respondent to remit **\$15,460.83** plus all accrued interest due at the time of payment to the Requestor within 20 days receipt of this Order.

The above Findings, Decision and Order are hereby issued this 27th day of October, 2004.

Noel L. Beavers Medical Dispute Resolution Officer Medical Review Division Hilda H. Baker, Manager Medical Dispute Resolution Medical Review Division

HHB/nlb